declaration to the Customs Service stating that they are not manufactured for use in a subject LSI.

### Final Results of the Review

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received no comments. The final results are therefore unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results, and we determine, based on the best information available, that a margin of 129.71 percent exists for Otsuka for the period November 1, 1993, through October 31, 1994.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Otsuka will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the manufacturer of the merchandise: and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 129.71 percent, the all other rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 22, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import

Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–24301 Filed 9–28–95; 8:45 am]

# BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# [A-201-504]

## Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware; Termination In-Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of termination in-part of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On January 13, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico. The Department is now terminating this review in-part with respect to Esmaltaciones San Ignacio S.A. de C.V. (San Ignacio).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 29, 1995.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur N. DuBois or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–6312/3814.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On January 13, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico (60 FR 3192). This notice stated that the Department would review merchandise sold in the United States by San Ignacio during the period December 1, 1993 through November 30, 1994.

San Ignacio subsequently withdrew its request for review on July 26, 1995, choosing a "new shipper" review instead. Section 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request not later than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of

initiation of the requested review. This regulation also provides that the Secretary may extend the time limit for withdrawal of a request if it is reasonable to do so. Because we have initiated a "new shipper" review on San Ignacio (July 20, 1995, 60 FR 37426), we are waiving the 90-day requirement in section 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) and terminating this review, in part, with respect to San Ignacio.

This notice is published pursuant to

19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: September 19, 1995. Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance. [FR Doc. 95–24303 Filed 9–28–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Publication of quarterly update to annual listing of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department), in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, has prepared a quarterly update to its annual list of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty. We are publishing the current listing of those subsidies that we have determined exist.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 1995. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** 

Brian Albright or Maria MacKay, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 702(a) of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (as amended)(the Act) requires the Department to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(h)(4) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates of the type and amount of those subsidies.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702(h)(2) of the